Appendix No. 5 to Resolution No. 690/2024 Senate of the Maria Grzegorzewska University of 24 January 2024

MINUTES OF THE RECRUITMENT COMMITTEE FOR THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL FROM THE QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE

The Doctoral School Recruitment Committee composed of:

1) Chairperson of the Committee – Head of Doctoral School:

.....

2) Other members of the Committee:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

states that the candidate:

.....,

(first and last name)

PESEL number or, if unavailable, the identity document number and the issuing country:

.....,

obtained the following results in the qualification procedure for the Doctoral School:

Criteria for awarding recruitment points in the qualification procedure

		Number of points
GRADI	E POINT AVERAGE – for second-cycle studies or uniform master's studies or	
for stu	dies completed abroad, recognized as equivalent to second-cycle studies	
or unif	form master's studies (excluding grades for diploma exams), with points	
calcula	ated as follows:	
averag	ge of 4.00 or lower - 0 points;	
4.01 -	4.50 – 1 point;	
4.51 -	5.00 – 2 points.	
)EVALI	JATION OF THE CANDIDATE'S OWN RESEARCH PROJECT ACCORDING TO TH	E FOLLOWING
CRITE	RIA:	
* the	total number of points awarded for the evaluation of the candidate's c	wn research project
must	not exceed 36 points;	
		Number of points
a)	how it fits into or overlaps with current knowledge - no more than 8	
	points;	
		Number of points
b)	methodological correctness of the project, including the ability to	
	formulate the research goal and present the research problem, the ability	
	to propose a way to solve it using an appropriate methodology - no more	
	than 10 points;	
		Number of points
c)	evaluation of project novelty and originality - no more than 8 points;	
		Number of points
d)	impact of project implementation on the development of the scientific	
	discipline – no more than 5 points;	
		Number of points
e)	evaluation of the feasibility of project implementation according to the	
,	proposed assumptions – no more than 5 points.	
	Fotal score for the evaluation of the candidate's own research project:	
SCIEN	TIFIC ACHIEVEMENTS FOR THE LAST 5 YEARS ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWIN	IG CRITERIA:

	priate sections of the recruitment form will be subject to evaluation;	
a)	articles published or accepted for publication, with scoring according to	
	the rules:	
	- with a total of no more than 6 points for articles;	
		Number of points
	- publication in English in scientific journals with Impact Factor (IF) - 3	
	points for each article;	
_		Number of points
	- in peer-reviewed journals included in the list of scored journals of the	
	Ministry of Science and Higher Education with a rating of 70 points and	
	above - 2 points for each article;	
		Number of points
	- in peer-reviewed journals included in the list of scored journals of the	
	Ministry of Science and Higher Education with a rating of less than 70	
	points (including chapters in monographs) - 1 point each;	
		Number of points
	- chapters in a monograph - 1 point each;	
		Number of points
b)	authorship of a scientific monograph (3 points) or scientific editorship of	
	a monograph (2 points);	
	- with a total of no more than 6 points;	
		Number of points
c)	leading research projects funded by home or external universities (such	
	as: the National Science Center (NCN), the National Center for Research	
	and Development (NCBiR), the Ministry of Science and Higher Education	
	(MNiSW)) or involvement in implementation of research projects funded	
	by home or external universities – 3 points for leading a project, 2 points	
	for implementation;	
	- with a total of no more than 6 points.	
		Number of points

	associations or other scientific associations, rector's awards for	
	outstanding students and graduates, ministerial awards, research	
	scholarships at the level above the university – 1 point each;	
	- with a total of no more than 3 points;	
		Number of points
e)	active participation (oral presentation or poster) in scientific	
	conferences confirmed by a certificate or another participation	
	attestation document – 1 point each;	
	- with a total of no more than 3 points;	
		Number of points
f)	other scientific achievements not included above, such as leading a	
1	student scientific society, participation in a scientific club, co-organization	
	of a conference as a committee, participation in the scientific/editorial	
	committee of a journal - 1 point each.	
	- with a total of no more than 2 points.	
	Total score for scientific achievements for the last 5 years:	
INTER		
		oints; a positive
*the t	VIEW:	
*the t	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p	•
*the t	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p	the interview;
*the t result	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of	the interview;
*the t result	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of the interview will cover the candidate's prior scientific and research	the interview;
*the t result	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of the interview will cover the candidate's prior scientific and research experience, the candidate's knowledge and competencies relevant to the	the interview;
*the t result	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of the interview will cover the candidate's prior scientific and research experience, the candidate's knowledge and competencies relevant to the planned research and elements of research methodology appropriate to	the interview;
*the t result	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of the interview will cover the candidate's prior scientific and research experience, the candidate's knowledge and competencies relevant to the planned research and elements of research methodology appropriate to the indicated scientific discipline, a discussion of the research project (its	the interview;
*the t result	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of the interview will cover the candidate's prior scientific and research experience, the candidate's knowledge and competencies relevant to the planned research and elements of research methodology appropriate to the indicated scientific discipline, a discussion of the research project (its assumptions and implementation) presented during the enrollment	the interview;
*the t result	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of the interview will cover the candidate's prior scientific and research experience, the candidate's knowledge and competencies relevant to the planned research and elements of research methodology appropriate to the indicated scientific discipline, a discussion of the research project (its assumptions and implementation) presented during the enrollment process and scientific plans - no more than 12 points;	the interview;
*the t result	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of the interview will cover the candidate's prior scientific and research experience, the candidate's knowledge and competencies relevant to the planned research and elements of research methodology appropriate to the indicated scientific discipline, a discussion of the research project (its assumptions and implementation) presented during the enrollment process and scientific plans - no more than 12 points;	the interview; Number of points
a)	VIEW: total number of points awarded for the interview must not exceed 16 p of the interview means receiving a positive evaluation in both parts of the interview will cover the candidate's prior scientific and research experience, the candidate's knowledge and competencies relevant to the planned research and elements of research methodology appropriate to the indicated scientific discipline, a discussion of the research project (its assumptions and implementation) presented during the enrollment process and scientific plans - no more than 12 points; - with at least 6 points required for a positive evaluation;	the interview; Number of points

member of the Recruitment Committee listed in § 2(2)(3) of the Resolution on the rules of admission to the Doctoral School managed by the Maria Grzegorzewska University in Warsaw for the academic year	
2024/2025 - no more than 4 points; - with at least 2 points required for a positive evaluation.	
<u>Total score</u> during the interview:	
TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS OBTAINED IN THE QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE*	/80

* The total number of recruitment points awarded in the qualification procedure must not exceed 80 points. *In the case of an equal number of recruitment points referred to in paragraph 2, the choice of the candidate will be determined first by the higher-rated research project, followed by the higher-rated publications.

*To pass the qualification procedure, the candidate must obtain at least 40 points and a positive assessment from the interview.

The Doctoral School Recruitment Committee resolved to qualify/not qualify* the

candidate:....

(first and last name)

for admission to the Doctoral School managed by the Maria Grzegorzewska University, ranked

(insert ranking position) on the ranking list.

Chairperson of the Committee – Head of Doctoral School:

.....

Other members of the Committee:

.....

.....

.....

.....

*Delete as appropriate